



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,051	03/22/2006	Bernard Hendrik Reesink	4872	8981

48227 7590 09/08/2010  
BASF CATALYSTS LLC  
100 CAMPUS DRIVE  
FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932

EXAMINER
----------

SINGH, PREM C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/08/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTONotices@basf.com  
linda.komorowski@basf.com  
sonny.nkansa@basf.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/573,051	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REESINK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PREM C. SINGH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                     |                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____                                                         | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/04/2010 has been entered.

### ***Claim Objections***

2. Claim 43 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 43 cites, "reducing the metallic nickel is conducted at a temperature between 100 and 200 degrees C". The Specification cites, "The adsorbent may be prepared by a process wherein a passivated nickel adsorbent material .....is reduced with hydrogen at a temperature between 100 and 200°C....In case a nickel adsorbent is prepared directly from a nickel oxide precursor, without prior reduction and passivation, as above, the temperature of reduction is preferably between 100 and 500°C" (Specification, page 6, lines 5-13).

Art Unit: 1797

Thus, the claim language should be re-worded to incorporate passivation before reduction with hydrogen.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1797

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 25, 31, 32 and 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winsor et al (GB 1,144,498) ("Winsor") in view of Brahma et al (US Patent 5,482,616) ("Brahma") and Kimber et al (US Patent 5,059,539) ("Kimber").

5. With respect to claim 25, Winsor discloses a process for the removal of thiophenic sulfur compounds from aromatic hydrocarbons (See page 1, lines 10-13; page 2, lines 9-10, 14-15). The process comprises: contacting the feed stock in presence of hydrogen with a sulfided nickel adsorbent (See page 1, lines 23-36, 60-61).

Winsor invention also discloses a partially sulfided nickel contact material having 10 wt% elemental nickel (See page 2, lines 53-56; page 4, lines 7-15) showing high sulfur capacity, prolonged activity (for 1300 hours) and substantially no hydrogenation activity (See page 4, lines 21-27).

Winsor further discloses an atomic ratio of S to Ni in a range including as claimed (See page 4 Table 1). Winsor also discloses contacting the feedstock with metal oxides (cobalt and molybdenum oxides) for the removal of contaminating sulfur compounds before using the sulfided nickel adsorbent from the hydrocarbon feedstock (See page 2, lines 30-45). It is to be noted that cobalt and molybdenum oxides upon reaction with sulfur compounds, will form stable sulfides.

Winsor invention does not specifically disclose rate constant for tetralin hydrogenation activity of the adsorbent, however, the invention does disclose that the supported nickel used in the invention does not show appreciable hydrogenation of the aromatic hydrocarbons (See page 1, lines 50-54). This indicates that the supported nickel in the Winsor process has very low value of the rate constant. It is to be noted that rate constant for hydrogenation activity for an adsorbent or catalyst is a property which can be easily determined.

Kimber discloses test for catalyst activity in which naphthalene is reversibly hydrogenated (See abstract). Kimber elaborately discloses method of measuring catalyst activity for hydrogenation (See column 1, lines 49-68; column 2, lines 1-38).

In view of Kimber teaching, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Winsor invention and determine the tetralin hydrogenation activity for the adsorbent used in the invention because tetralin hydrogenation and naphthalene hydrogenation processes are expected to be similar. It is expected that the rate constant in Winsor invention will be in a range as claimed by the Applicant because Winsor is using an adsorbent similar to the Applicant's claim.

Winsor also discloses that more than one stage of desulfurization using nickel adsorbent can be used (See page 2, lines 30-41). This indicates that the metal oxides (cobalt and molybdenum oxides) are also desulfurization agents which could be combined with the nickel adsorbent by one skilled in the art.

Art Unit: 1797

Brahma discloses a catalyst system having a nickel component on a support and a metal oxide component and using the said catalyst system in a process for hydrodesulfurization of a hydrocarbon feedstock (See abstract; column 1, lines 62-67; column 2, lines 1-3, 44-53, 60-63; column 3, lines 3-9, 59-67; column 4, lines 53-64) similar to Winsor. Brahma also discloses that the particles of metal oxide containing component and the particles of hydrogenation component can be applied to the same support material or onto different support materials and which are then mixed with each other (See column 4, lines 4-9). It is to be noted that Brahma's hydrogenation component (nickel) (See column 2, lines 44-53) is equivalent to the claimed nickel adsorbent.

In view of Winsor's use of a bed of metal oxide followed by a bed of the nickel adsorbent, and Brahma's teaching of using nickel and metal oxide either on the same support or on different supports, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Winsor invention and use the metal oxides along with nickel adsorbent for an enhanced desulfurization effect. According to MPEP, "It is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose" *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 1797

6. With respect to claims 31 and 32, Winsor invention discloses temperature between 50 and 316°C (See Table: page 2, between lines 59 and 60). Winsor invention also discloses using a fixed bed process (See page 2, lines 93-97; page 3, lines 1-5).

7. With respect to claims 34-40, Winsor invention discloses pressure from 0 to 2000 psig (0 to 138 bar), temperature between 50 and 316°C and liquid hourly space velocity between 0.05 to 10 hr<sup>-1</sup> (See page 2, Table between lines 59 and 60). This indicates that hydrogen partial pressure is expected to be in a range as claimed. Winsor also discloses hydrogen/hydrocarbon ratio in the feed (See page 2, Table between lines 59 and 60). Knowing the total hydrogen flow rate per unit catalyst volume, one with ordinary skill in the art could determine the gas hourly space velocity (GHSV). It is expected that Winsor invention is using a GHSV in the claimed range because the invention is using the LHSV in the claimed range.

8. Claims 26-30, 33 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winsor et al (GB 1,144,498) ("Winsor") in view of Brahma et al (US Patent 5,482,616) ("Brahma"), Kimber et al (US Patent 5,059,539) ("Kimber") and further in view of Bouwman et al (US Patent 5,223,470) ("Bouwman") and Archibald (US Patent 2,951,034) ("Archibald").

9. With respect to claims 26-28, 41, 46 and 47, Winsor invention discloses, "The supported nickel material used in the present process may be prepared in any of the



Art Unit: 1797

known ways and may incorporate any of the known natural or synthetic materials, such as refractory oxides of the elements of Groups II to V of the Periodic Table” (Page 2, lines 72-77).

Winsor also discloses treatment with sulfur-compounds comprising Class A and Class B compounds including thiophenes having 4-10 carbon atoms (See page 3, lines 38-61). It is to be noted that thiophenes having 4-10 carbon atoms encompass aromatic sulfur compounds.

Winsor invention does not appear to specifically disclose the details of preparing nickel adsorbent.

Bouwman discloses preparation of sulfur-promoted nickel catalyst on alumina (See title and abstract). Bouwman also discloses preparation of nickel catalyst by co-precipitating it with sulfur compounds including sulfides and thiophenes (See column 3, lines 17-54).

In view of Bouwman's teaching, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to specify the details of preparing nickel adsorbent in Winsor invention which is expected to be similar to the steps as disclosed by Bouwman.

Winsor does not appear to specifically disclose nickel adsorbent obtained by treating a metallic nickel adsorbent.

Archibald discloses a process of desulfurization of hydrocarbons with a mixture of Group VIII metal and Group VIII metal oxide or sulfide (See title) similar to Winsor.

Art Unit: 1797

Archibald also discloses producing nickel adsorbent by treating a metallic nickel adsorbent (See column 3, lines 4-9).

In view of Archibald teaching, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Winsor invention and use nickel as metallic nickel adsorbent without a support as disclosed by Archibald and reduce the total volume of adsorbent and make the contacting device more compact.

10. With respect to claims 29 and 30, Winsor invention discloses, "The supported nickel material used in the present process may be prepared in any of the known ways and may incorporate any of the known natural or synthetic materials, such as refractory oxides of the elements of Groups II to V of the Periodic Table" (Page 2, lines 72-77). It is to be noted that silicon belongs to Group IV A. It is also to be noted that co-precipitation, calcining and reduction of the catalyst are standard and routine steps used in the preparation of the adsorbent (See Bouwman, column 3, lines 17-54).

11. With respect to claim 33, Archibald invention discloses oxide of the metal in the nickel adsorbent (See column 2, lines 33-35).

12. With respect to claims 42-45, Archibald invention discloses treating the metallic nickel adsorbent at a temperature of 260°F (127°C), calcining at 800°F (427°C) and reducing the metallic nickel by treating with hydrogen at a temperature of 800°F (427°C) See column 3, lines 18-33). Archibald also discloses use of porous adsorbent along with

Art Unit: 1797

partially oxidized Raney nickel (See column 3, lines 4-17). It is expected that the disclosed adsorbents act as structural promoter.

### ***Response to Arguments***

13. The Applicant's arguments filed 07/06/2010 regarding claims 25-32 have been addressed in the Advisory Action dated: 07/14/2010. New claims 33-47 have been addressed in the Office action above.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prem C. Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-6381. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PS 090110

/PREM C SINGH/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1797